PTO/SB/21 (02-04)

Date

May 24, 2004

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Application Number 10/622,808 TRANSMITTAL Filing Date July 17, 2003 **FORM** First Named Inventor Alan E. Hayes Art Unit (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name** Attorney Docket Number

Tota	al Number of P	ages in	This Submission	Ь				NPW	V 346	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)										
	Fee Transn	nittal Fo	rm		Drawin	ıg(s)				After Allowance communication to Technology Center (TC)
	Amendment Afte Affice Extension of Express Ab Information Certified Co Document(s) Response t Incomplete Res	After Final  Affidavits/declaration(s)  on of Time Request  Abandonment Request  tion Disclosure Statement		Ren	Petitior Petitior Provisi Power Change Termin	n to Conver ional Applic of Attorney	rt to a cation v, Revocatio spondence A ner		Und	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): sponse to Decision Refusing Petition er 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a) opplemental Declaration of David J. Edlund
				<u></u>		<del></del>				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT										
Firm or Individual name		David S. D'Ascenzo Kolisch Hartwell, P.C.								
Signature										
Date May 24, 2004										
CEPTIEICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING										
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING										
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.										
Typed or printed name David S. D'Aso										

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

May 24, 2004

JFW DAC'

In re Application of:

ALAN E. HAYES and JERRY A. YOUNG

Serial No.

10/622,808

Filed

July 17, 2003

For

POSITIVE DISPLACEMENT LIQUID PUMP

**Mail Stop PETITION** 

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

## RESPONSE TO DECISION REFUSING PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a)

IdaTech, LLC ("Assignee") hereby requests reconsideration of its petition for acceptance of the present application without the signature of inventor Jerry Young. Enclosed with this request is a Supplemental Declaration of David J. Edlund in support of the petition.

As an initial matter, Assignee's undersigned attorney thanks the Petitions Attorney for his time and comments in a telephone interview on April 30, 2004 in which the refusal was discussed. Assignee understands that additional information is requested regarding whether Assignee's Declarant had first-hand knowledge of whether the complete patent application, with drawings, was sent to Mr. Young and whether attempts had been made to find Mr. Young's present whereabouts or place of employment. In response, as discussed below and supported by the enclosed Supplemental Declaration, Assignee's Declarant confirms that he has first-hand knowledge that the complete patent application, including drawings, was sent to Mr. Young and the Assignee has made numerous attempts to locate Mr. Young.

Regarding providing Mr. Young with a copy of the present application, with drawings, Mr. Edlund confirms that it was at his direction that a complete copy of the present application, with drawings and the required inventor's declaration, was sent to Mr. Young at his last known address. When no response was received from Mr. Young and the mailing was not returned to Assignee, a second letter was sent to Mr. Young via certified mail. This letter was returned as being undeliverable on account of Mr. Young no longer residing at that residence, as discussed in Assignee's original petition. Accordingly, it is Assignee's belief that the original letter, with the application and declaration, was received but Mr. Young refused to respond to the requests for review and signature, and that Mr. Young had moved before the second letter was received.

Regarding Assignee's attempts to locate Mr. Young's present whereabouts, Assignee's Declarant is not aware of Mr. Young's present whereabouts or place of employment. Assignee has searched for Mr. Young's present whereabouts or current place of employment, but without success. The prevalence of individuals in the U.S. with "Young" as a surname and Jerry or variants thereof as a given name renders on-line searching impractical given the hundreds, if not more, names meeting this criteria. However, Assignee has searched for forwarded addresses for Mr. Young. Assignee has also contacted, without success, various ones of Mr. Young's coworkers and friends to try to locate Mr. Young or learn of his current place of employment. Assignee has also contacted companies with which it believed Mr. Young may have sought employment. However, these inquires also were not successful in ascertaining Mr. Young's whereabouts or place of employment.

In view of the above, Assignee requests reconsideration of the denial of its petition. Assignee submits that it has more than satisfied the requirements for a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a) and accordingly requests that its petition be granted so that prosecution of the present application can proceed. If the Petitions Attorney has any questions regarding this matter or requires any additional information, Assignee's attorney may be reached at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

KOLISCH HARTWELL, P.C.

David S. D'Ascenzo

Of Attorneys for Assignee, IdaTech, LLC

Registration No. 39,952

PTO Customer No. 23581

Kolisch Hartwell, P.C.

520 S.W. Yamhill Street, Suite 200

Portland, Oregon 97204

Telephone: (503) 224-6655

Facsimile: (503) 295-6679



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

ALAN E. HAYES and JERRY A. YOUNG

Serial No.

10/622,808

Filed

July 17, 2003

For

POSITIVE DISPLACEMENT LIQUID PUMP

Mail Stop PETITION Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

## SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID J. EDLUND

I, David J. Edlund, of the city of Bend, Oregon, hereby declare:

1. I am making this declaration to supplement my original Declaration in connection with IdaTech's petition to proceed without the signature of one of the inventors for the above-identified patent application.

2. At my direction, a copy of the application, including drawings, and the required declaration, were sent to Mr. Young at his last known address. No response was received from Mr. Young. I then had a second letter sent to Mr. Young at this address. This second letter was sent via certified mail, return receipt requested. This second letter was returned to IdaTech as being undeliverable because Mr. Young no longer resides at the address. Copies of this second letter and the returned envelope were attached to my prior Declaration.

3. On information and belief, Mr. Young received and refused to sign the declaration accompanying the application, with this declaration and the application being received after

Page 1 – SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID J. EDLUND; Serial No. 10/622,808

1.

Mr. Young was no longer employed by IdaTech. On information and belief, Mr. Young had moved

from his last known address when the second declaration was sent to this address.

4. Mr. Young's current whereabouts and current place of employment are not known

to myself, and on information and belief, his whereabouts and/or current place of employment are

not known to others at IdaTech. IdaTech has searched for Mr. Young's current whereabouts, but

without success. The prevalence of individuals with Young as a surname and Jerry or variants

thereof as a first name makes country-wide Internet searches impractical. However, IdaTech's

searches included inquiring about Mr. Young's current whereabouts with his former co-workers and

associates, none of whom were aware of his current whereabouts or place of employment. IdaTech

has also inquired with companies in other states where IdaTech believed Mr. Young may now be

employed, but those inquiries also were not successful in ascertaining Mr. Young's whereabouts or

place of employment.

5. I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true

and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that

these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so

made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the

United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the

application or any patent issued thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 17 May 2004

David J. Edlund, Senior Vice President and

Chief Technology Officer

IdaTech, LLC, assignee of U.S. Patent

Application Serial No. 10/622,808

Page 2 – SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVID J. EDLUND; Serial No. 10/622,808